



**Leicester**  
City Council

**MINUTES  
EXTRACT**

Minutes of the Meeting of the  
STRATEGIC PLANNING AND REGENERATION SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Held: WEDNESDAY 20 FEBRUARY 2002 at 5.30pm

P R E S E N T :

Councillor Hunt - Chair  
Councillor Bodell-Stagg - Labour Spokesperson  
Councillor Mrs. Chambers - Conservative Spokesperson

Councillor Henry                                      Councillor Soulsby  
   Councillor Hunter

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE:

Councillor Chohan	-	Ward Councillor
Councillor Kavia	-	Cabinet Lead Member
Councillor Mugglestone	-	Ward Councillor
Councillor Platts	-	Ward Councillor
Councillor Sood	-	Ward Councillor
Councillor Thompson	-	Ward Councillor

\* \* \* \* \*

**53. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Members were asked to declare any interests they may have in the business to be discussed and/or indicate that Section 106 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 applied to them.

Councillor Hunt declared a personal prejudicial interest in the item entitled "Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance for Abbey Park Road", on the basis of his employment offices being based within the site on which guidance is based. He left the meeting for consideration of this item.

Councillors Platts and Thompson, attending as Ward Councillors, both declared personal interests in the item entitled "Draft Supplementary Planning Guidance for the Former Mundella Community College" as both are members of the Greater Humberstone Management Board.

Councillor Platts also declared a personal interest in the item entitled "The Towers – Site Development Guidance" as he lived near to the site.

**59. DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING GUIDANCE FOR THE FORMER MUNDELLA COMMUNITY COLLEGE**

The Director of Environment, Development and Commercial Services submitted a report seeking the views on the draft Supplementary Planning Guidance for the Former Mundella Community College.

The Chair welcomed Councillor Platts to comment on the draft guidance, his comments were as follows:-

- The Greater Humberstone Management Group had commissioned architects and consultants to consider future uses of the site.
- Option B of the report was most preferable but it was felt that the existing ball court and gym were not of a suitable standard.
- The report was good and residents would be happy if development happened as outlined in the guidance.
- It was suggested that the sports and community facilities should be available for use before residential development was completed.
- There were no major objections to selling off playing fields, however consideration could be given to utilising lottery funding for the purposes of retaining playing fields.

The Chair requested that Officers give consideration to any lottery funding to retain the playing fields and congratulated Officers on a positive report.

**RESOLVED:**

that the above comments be passed on the Cabinet for consideration.